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Disclaimer

- This talk will be focused on Debian packaging
- ... but most of it is also applicable to other standard packaging systems
- ... including Conda
- ... and the workflow can be used to package for various systems simultaneously
Why?

- Why packaging?
- Why collaborative packaging?
- Why automated packaging?

How?

- How to package?
- How to package collaboratively?
- How to package automatically?
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How to package (assumptions)

- Both upstream & packagers may be geographically-disperse teams
- Upstream has a public git repo
  - Otherwise, the packaging team may create an “upstream” branch in their own git repo and import upstream releases there
- Packagers have access to a gitlab-ci instance
  - ... Ideally, http://salsa.debian.org
  - ... http://gitlab.com SaaS can also be used
  - ... and private instances / forks are also ok if required
- Packagers and Upstream may (or not) be the same people
  - ...and the upstream & packaging repos may (or not) be the same
How to package

• For Debian
  - add a debian dir to the upstream source:
    • debian/control (dependencies, descriptions and other metadata)
    • debian/rules (makefile-style recipe for how to create the package)
    • debian/changelog (package versioning)
    • debian/copyright (licensing info)
    • debian/...
  - run dpkg-buildpackage
  - run Q/A checks (lintian, piuparts, reprotest, ...)
  - sign and upload

• Similar procedures for other systems ( RPMs, Conda, ... )
How to package (notes on versions)

- If the upstream developers do not change the version, then the packager must do it
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- If the upstream developers do not change the version, then the packager must do it

```
master

1.2.3

foo_1.2.3+git20180501.1.fffffff-1

foo_1.2.3-1
```
How to package (notes on versions)

- local/private (non-official) packages can be treated as **backports**:

  foo_1.2.3+git20180501.1.ffffff -0~bpo9~alba+1

  master

  - This scheme is compatible with official versions (allows correct upgrades if official versions are eventually created)
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1. Release foo 1.0
2. Create foo

Upstream
- github.com/user/foo
- salsa.debian.org/debuser/foo

Debian Packagers
- debian/sid

Add debian dir
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How to package collaboratively

Release foo 2.0
(work...)
Release foo 1.0
Create foo

Upstream
github.com/user/foo
salsa.debian.org/debuser/foo

Debian Packagers

Fix package
Add debian dir

Create foo
Release foo 1.0
Release foo 2.0
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How to package collaboratively

- Release foo 2.0
  - (work...)
- Release foo 1.0
- Create foo

Upstream:
- github.com/user/foo
- salsa.debian.org/debuser/foo

Debian Packagers:
- Adapt debian files
- Merge new upstream version
- Fix package
- Add debian dir

- foo_1.0-1.deb
- foo_1.0-2.deb
- foo_2.0-1.deb

- debian/sid
  - d/2.0-1
  - d/1.0-1
  - d/1.0-2
  - u/1.0
  - u/2.0

- master
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How to package **automatically** (gitlab-ci pipelines)

- A gitlab-ci **pipeline** is a sequence of **stages**. Each stage executes one or more **jobs** in parallel.
- Each job is executed by a **gitlab runner**, which typically spawns a disposable **docker container**.
- Our pipeline is described in `.gitlab-ci.yml` (or in `debian/.gitlab-ci.yml` if we only want packaging).

---

- `foo_VER.tgz`
- `foo_VER.whl`
- `docs/html`

- `foo_VER-1.deb`
- `foo_VER-1 deb-src`
- `foo_VER-1~bpo9+1.deb`
- `foo_VER-1~bpo9+1 deb-src`
How to package **automatically** (upstream CI)

- A push to a non-`debian/*` branch triggers the following stages:

**UPSTREAM-BUILD**

- **BUILD_UPSTREAM**
  - `foo_custom:stretch`
  - `>setup.py sdist`
  - `>setup.py bdist_wheel`
  - `>setup.py build_sphinx`

- **PREPARE_DEBREPO**
  - `debian:stretch`
  - `>create tmp_repo`
  - `>VER=x.y+git<date>.<build_id>.<hash>`
  - `>tag u/VER`
  - `>merge u/VER into debian/*`
  - `>update debian/changelogs (gbp dch)`

**UPSTREAM-TEST**

- **TEST_DEVEL**
  - `foo_custom:stretch`
  - `>setup.py develop`
  - `>setup.py test`

- **TEST_SDIST (1)**
  - `foo_custom:1`
  - `>untar foo-VER.tgz`
  - `>setup.py test`

- **TEST_SDIST (2)**
  - `foo_custom:2`
  - `> ...`
How to package automatically (debian packaging)

- If UPSTREAM-TEST succeeds (or if a push is done to a `debian/*` branch) the following stages take place:

**BUILD-PKG**

**BUILD SID**
- `debian:sid`
  - `apt-get build-dep`
  - `gbp-buildpackage`
  - `tag d/x.y-1`

**BUILD STRETCH**
- `debian:stretch`
  - `apt-get build-dep`
  - `gbp-buildpackage`
  - `tag d/x.y-1~bpo9+1`

**TEST-PKG**

**LINTIAN SID**
- `debian:sid`
  - `lintian`

**LINTIAN STRETCH**
- `debian:stretch`
  - `lintian`

**PIUPARTS**
- `debian:sid`
  - `piuparts -d sid`
  - `piuparts -d stretch`
  - `...`

**PUSH-REPO**

**PUSH TO PKG REPO**
- Manual confirmation

**PUSH TO REPO (1)**
- `cd tmp_repo`
  - `push salsa d/sid`
  - `push salsa d/stretch`
  - `push salsa --tags`

**Manual confirmation**
How to package **automatically** (deployment)

- After the new package becomes “blessed” (via manual trigger of PUSH_REPO) the deployment part of the pipeline is enabled:
Should we all join forces?

• Many people from Tango institutes are already maintaining deb packages:
  – Frédéric Picca (Soleil), Sandor Bodo-Merle (ELI-ALPS), Eugen Wintersberger (Desy), Jerome Kieffer & Stuart James (ESRF), Teemu Ikonen(?), ~10 packagers at ALBA, ...

  (I am surely missing many... sorry... one more reason for coordinating!)

• Some examples of packages that we could maintain collaboratively:
  – tango, tango-java, pytango, tango-matlab-binding, taurus, sardana, fandango, pytangoarchiving, silx, pymca, pyfai, ufo-filters, pynx, lima, panic, hdb++ DS, scpi, tangovimba, imggrabber&IBA DSs, moxa-npreal2, pylon, modbus, ros, pystatecomposer, serial DS, vacca, yat, yat4tango, pyplc, omniORB, ISL, ...

• ALBA will be locally maintaining packages for most of its own code:
  – ~250 GUIs, ~200 DS, ~100 sardana macros & controllers, ~80 libs, ~30 kernel drivers,...

  let’s contribute with our small drop in an ocean of ~60K official Debian packages

  GOAL: at least 1 Debian Developer per institute, and joining debian-Science and/or debian-Astro teams
Conclusions & final remarks

- We propose a **simple-but-general pipeline** that can be run on publicly available gitlab-ci services.

- Institutes can **collaborate on a common package** and still keep a fork with their **own customizations** (tip: use git merge / cherry-pick).

- This workflow **can be used for any package** (even for private ones).

- **ALBA will use this pipeline for all its internal packages** (600+). We will push any of them to public repos (preferably salsa) if there is someone interested.
Thanks for your attention!
.gitlab-ci.yml example

**prepare_debrepo:**
- **image:** cmft/debpack-ci
- **stage:** ups_build
- **artifacts:**
  - (...)
- **script:**
  - # create & configure the temporary repo
  - - git clone . ${ARTIFACTS_DIR}/tmprepo
  - - git push ${ARTIFACTS_DIR}/tmprepo remotes/origin/debian/stretch:refs/heads/debian/stretch
  - - git push ${ARTIFACTS_DIR}/tmprepo remotes/origin/debian/sid:refs/heads/debian/sid
  - # exit here if the push was done to a debian branch
  - - if 
  - - cd ${ARTIFACTS_DIR}/tmprepo
  - - git checkout -b upstream
  - - git config user.name $DEBFULLNAME
  - - git config user.email $DEBEMAIL
  - # tag upstream
  - - UPVERSION=`python setup.py --version`+git`date +%Y%m%d`.$CI_PIPELINE_ID.`git rev-parse --short=6 upstream`
  - - git tag upstream/$UPVERSION
  - # merge and update debian/sid branch
  - - git merge -X theirs --no-ff --no-edit -m "Merge upstream/$UPVERSION into debian/sid" -m "[ci skip]" upstream/$UPVERSION
  - - gbp dch --commit --commit-msg="Update changelog for %(version)s release [ci skip]"
  - - --spawn-editor=never --debian-branch=debian/sid --upstream-branch=upstream
  - - --release --new-version=$UPVERSION-1 --git-log='--first-parent'
  - - cat debian/changelog
  - # merge and update debian/stretch branch
  - (...)
.gitlab-ci.yml example

```yaml
build_package_sid:
  image: debian:unstable
  stage: pkg_build
  dependencies:
    - prepare_debrepo
  artifacts:
    expire_in: 1 day
    name: "$CI_JOB_NAME"
    paths:
      - ${ARTIFACTS_DIR}/sid
      - ${ARTIFACTS_DIR}/tmprepo
  before_script:
    - apt-get update
    - apt-get install eatmydata -y
    - eatmydata apt-get install git-buildpackage -y
  script:
    - cd ${ARTIFACTS_DIR}/tmprepo
    - git checkout debian/sid
    - eatmydata apt-get build-dep -y
    - DEB_BUILD_OPTIONS=nocheck gbp buildpackage --git-tag --git-debian-branch=debian/sid
      --git-export-dir=${ARTIFACTS_DIR}/sid -us -uc
  except:
    - tags
```
.gitlab-ci.yml example

```yaml
lintian_sid:
  image: debian:unstable
  stage: pkg_test
  before_script:
    - apt-get update && apt-get install lintian -y --no-install-recommends
  script:
    - lintian -iI ${ARTIFACTS_DIR}/sid/*.changes
  except:
    - tags

push_to_pkg_repo:
  image: alpine:latest
  stage: pkg_push
  dependencies:
    - build_package_sid
    - build_package_stretch
  before_script:
    # prior to uploading, push the repo changes
    - apk update && apk add git
    script:
      - cd ${ARTIFACTS_DIR}/tmprepo
      - git push https://$BOT_NAME:$BOT_PASS@$DEPLOY_GIT_REPO debian/sid:debian/sid
      - git push https://$BOT_NAME:$BOT_PASS@$DEPLOY_GIT_REPO debian/stretch:debian/stretch
      - git push https://$BOT_NAME:$BOT_PASS@$DEPLOY_GIT_REPO --tags
  when: manual
  except:
    - tags
```